

# Bitcoin, Currencies, and Fragility: Supplementary Discussions

Nassim Nicholas Taleb\*<sup>†</sup> ‡

<sup>†</sup>Universa Investments

<sup>‡</sup>Tandon School of Engineering, New York University

## RATIONAL EXPECTATIONS

Discretely seen, a price is expected cash flow received at the end of the next period  $t + 1$  plus expected price at period  $t + 1$ . So let  $P_t$ ,  $C_t$ , and  $I_t$  be the price, cash flow (payout to investor) and information, respectively, at period  $t$ , with  $r_d$  the discount rate. Without any loss, we simplify by assuming  $C_i$  and  $r_d$  are not stochastic. We note that "cash flow" to investor includes any payout, not just dividend, so  $C_t$  includes the liquidation value.

$$P_t = \frac{1}{1+r_d} \left( C_{t+1} + \frac{\mathbb{E}(P_{t+1}|I_t)}{\frac{1}{1+r_d} \left( C_{t+2} + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(P_{t+2}|I_{t+1})|I_t))}_{\dots} \right)} \right), \quad (1)$$

By the law of iterated expectations,

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{E}(P_{t+2}|I_{t+1})|I_t) = \mathbb{E}(P_{t+2}|I_t).$$

Allora, noting that, at the present, seen from period  $t$ ,  $\mathbb{E}(P_{t+1}|I_t)$  is written as  $\mathbb{E}(P_{t+1})$ .

$$P_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{1}{1+r_d} \right)^i C_{t+i}}_{=0 \text{ for bitcoin}} + \left( \frac{1}{1+r_d} \right)^n \mathbb{E}(P_{t+n}) \right), \quad (2)$$

We notice that the second term vanishes under the smallest positive discount rate. In the standard rational bubble model [1]  $P$  (actually, its equivalent, the component that doesn't translate into future cash flow) needs to grow around  $r_d$  forever. Cases of  $P$  growing faster than  $r_d$  are never considered as the price becomes explosive (intuitively, given that we are dealing with infinities, it would exceed the value of the economy) [2].

As we increase  $n$ , additional cash goes into  $C_{t+n}$ ; in principle, for  $n \rightarrow \infty$  it must be all cash outside of bubbles.

## EARNING-FREE ASSETS WITH ABSORBING BARRIER

Now, bitcoin is all in the second term, with a hitch: there is an absorbing barrier — should there be an interruption of

NNT1@nyu.edu

the ledger updating process, some loss of interest in it, a technological replacement, its value is gone forever. As we insist, bitcoin requires distributed attention.

We define the stopping time as  $\tau \triangleq \inf\{n > 0; P_{t+n} = 0\}$ , with  $P_{>\tau} = 0$ .

### Comment 1: Failure rate

*Critically the probability of hitting the barrier does not need to come from price dynamics, but from any failure rate — the only assumption here is a failure rate  $> 0$ .*

So we impose a layer on top of the dynamics.

$$\mathbb{E}(P_{t+n}) = \mathbb{E}(P_{t+n}|_{t+n < \tau}) \mathbb{P}(t+n < \tau) + \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(P_{t+n}|_{t+n \geq \tau})}_{=0} \mathbb{P}(t+n \geq \tau) \quad (3)$$

Let  $\pi$  be the probability of being absorbed over a single period. Rewriting Eq. 1 with no cash flow, i.e.  $C_{t+i} = 0 \forall i$ , and eliminating cases for which the expectation is infinite:

$$P_t = \frac{1}{1+r_d} \left( (1-\pi) \frac{\mathbb{E}(P_{t+1}|I_t|_{(t+1) < \tau})}{\frac{1}{1+r_d} \left( (1-\pi) \underbrace{\mathbb{E}(P_{t+2}|I_t|_{(t+2) < \tau})}_{\dots} \right)} \right). \quad (4)$$

We therefore have

$$P_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{1-\pi}{1+r_d} \right)^n \mathbb{E}(P_{t+n}|_{(t+n) < \tau}) = 0 \quad (5)$$

For the price to be positive now,  $P_t$  must grow forever, exactly at a gigantic exponential scale,  $e^{n(r+\pi)}$ , without remission, and with total certainty.

**Comment 2: The problem of  $P_\infty$**

*The argument that  $P$  can grow faster than  $e^{n(r+\pi)}$  for a while and accumulate valuation is insufficient: once it stops growing, by backward induction, future absorption makes  $P_t$  valued at 0. Remember that we are dealing with infinities.*

Furthermore variable mortality rates makes the needed growth vastly in excess of both rates  $r_d$  and  $\pi$ . Let  $\pi$  be stochastic with realizations  $\pi(1+a)$  and  $\pi(1-a)$  — two Diracs at the mean deviation of  $\pi$ . Then the required growth rate must be  $e^{n(r+\pi+\sigma)}$ , where  $\sigma = \frac{\log(\cosh(\pi a n))}{n}$ , an additional convexity term  $\sigma \approx a\pi$ .

REFERENCES

- [1] O. J. Blanchard and M. W. Watson, "Bubbles, rational expectations and financial markets," *NBER working paper*, no. w0945, 1982.
- [2] M. K. Brunnermeier, "Bubbles," in *Banking Crises*. Springer, 2016, pp. 28–36.